

Quality Improvement and Patient Safety Forum 2018



Poster Abstract Submission Guidelines – 2018

OVERVIEW

On October 16, 2018, [IDEAS](#) and [C-QuIPS](#) will be cohosting the second annual Quality Improvement and Patient Safety Forum with the support of HQO. This interactive event is aimed at advancing the quality improvement (QI) and patient safety agenda across the province by providing an engaged QI and patient safety community with opportunities for networking and professional development. Held the day before [Health Quality Ontario](#)'s annual event *Health Quality Transformation Presents: Quality Matters 2018*, the forum will feature opportunities to share learnings, discuss the latest QI and patient safety research evidence and celebrate recent QI project successes.

The 2018 Quality Improvement and Patient Safety Forum Planning Committee invites you to submit:

1. A quality improvement project that demonstrates results and/or lessons learned.
2. Research focused on patient safety and quality improvement.

Abstracts may include qualitative, quantitative or mixed methods data and must include preliminary or final results in order to be considered for selection.

If you were part of IDEAS cohort 12 or 13, there is no need to submit an abstract for your IDEAS project, unless you are interested in being a part of the poster competition. Project teams from these cohorts are guaranteed a poster at this event and will be receiving more information from their QI Advisor. If you would like to participate in the open poster competition, please submit an abstract using the guidelines and submission form. For more details, please contact your QI advisor.

GUIDELINES FOR SUBMISSION

Submitted abstracts may be a maximum of 350 words and include the following information (as applicable):

Background/Context - What is the problem of interest? Provide a brief description of the background/issue statement.

Aim/Objectives - What is the aim of your project/research?

Measures - What are the outcomes/process/balance measures? How do you know if your project/research resulted in an improvement?

Improvement/Innovation/Change Concepts - Briefly describe the outcomes, program design, change ideas and how they have been implemented, as applicable.

Impact/ Results - Did your changes result in an improvement? Summarize key outcomes and/or results and describe the extent to which the initiative has demonstrated an impact on health outcomes or health care system performance. Preliminary results will be considered.

Discussion/Lessons Learned - Have results been replicated outside its original setting? What key lessons were learned? Has the evaluation of the initiative produced any publication/evidence?

If you are submitting a QI Project, please refer to the [document for tips](#).

SELECTION CRITERIA

Scoring categories for QI projects (Total /45)

Originality and innovativeness of change idea (/5)

Analysis of quality gap (/5) – *How thorough was the analysis of the quality gap? Is there any evidence that the gap was studied using various QI diagnostic tools?*

Appropriate selection of measures (/5) – *Are the selected family of measures appropriate to measure the effect(s) of the change idea? Any balancing measures?*

Methodological Rigour (/15) – 1) Fidelity - *Is there any evidence that the intervention was implemented and taken up as intended?* 2) Was the analysis and interpretation of the data appropriate? 3) Context – *Is there any consideration given to context before scaling up and spread?*

Quality of data (/5) – *Did authors report on their measures? E.g. if they wanted to improve wait times, did they report on the wait times?*

Sustainability of results, and learning value (/5)

Clarity and completeness of abstract (/5)

Scoring categories for QIPS research (Total /35)

Originality and innovativeness (/5)

Analysis of knowledge gap (/5)

Methodological Rigour (/10) – *Is there any evidence that the intervention was implemented and taken up as intended? Was the analysis and interpretation of the data appropriate?*

Quality of data (/5) – *How well did authors report on their findings?*

Learning value (/5)

Clarity and completeness of abstract (/5)

SUBMISSION PROCESS

Please email your abstract to the Abstract Review Committee at education@cquips.ca no later than August 17, 2018 at 16:30 EST.

ABSTRACT SELECTION PROCESS

Submission Deadline – August 17, 2018

Only abstracts submitted via the online process will be accepted. You will receive an email confirming the receipt of your submission. If you do not receive an email confirmation within 24 hours of your submission, please email education@cquips.ca

Review & Notification

The Abstract Review Committee will review all submitted abstracts. The committee will notify all authors of the results, via email, by September 12, 2018. If your abstract is accepted, you must confirm acceptance and register for the conference by September 19, 2018. You are responsible for your own travel and accommodation costs.

OTHER IMPORTANT INFORMATION

- An individual may submit up to two abstracts. One submission form is required for each abstract.
- If applicable, there must be a Declaration of Conflict of Interest.
- Accepted poster abstracts will be posted on the C-QulPS website after the conference.
- All accepted poster abstracts will have the opportunity to be displayed as posters at **Health Quality Transformation on October 17, 2018.**
- **If you were part of IDEAS cohort 12 or 13, there is no need to submit an abstract for your IDEAS project, unless you would like to participate in the open poster competition.**

Timelines

Deadline for submission: August 17, 2018 at 16:30 (EST)
Notification of acceptance: September 12, 2018
2018 QIPS Forum: October 16, 2018

For additional information, please contact: education@cquips.ca